# The shelf life extension of refrigerated *Nemipterus japonicas* fillets by chitosan coating incorporated with propolis extract

Ebadi Z.<sup>1</sup>; Khodanazary A.<sup>1\*</sup>; Hosseini S.M.<sup>1</sup>; Zanguei N.<sup>1</sup>

Received: December 2020

Accepted: April 2021

## Abstract

In this study, chitosan coatings incorporated with propolis extract (PE) were utilized to extend the shelf-life of refrigerated Nemipterus japonicas fillets. Microbiological (total mesophilic bacteria (TMB) and psychrotrophic (PTC) count), physicochemical (pH, TVB-N, TBARS, FFA) and sensory (color, odor and preference) characteristics of coated fillets were evaluated during 12 days. The results showed that incorporating PE into chitosan could significantly (p < 0.05) improve the quality of fish fillets. The results showed that the chitosan+PE samples reduced the extent of lipid oxidation, as judged by TBARS and FFA, suggesting that there is a synergistic effect between chitosan coating and propolis. Moreover, the application of chitosan coatings with PE improved the TVB-N and pH values of the *Nemipterus japonicas* samples significantly compared to untreated sample, thus extending the shelf life of Nemipterus japonicas fillet approximately >10 days. All wrapped fillets in treatment samples incorporated with PE had lower bacterial counts compared to control (p < 0.05). However, the bacterial counts of chitosan coating combined with PE were lower than those treated by chitosan coating or PE alone during the storage. The fillets treated with chitosan and PE had the best sensory properties. The increase (>10 days) in the shelf-life was attributed to the antioxidant and antimicrobial characteristics of chitosan and PE. These results confirmed that chitosan coating enriched with PE can be an improving method to reducing deterioration of refrigerated Nemipterus japonicas fillets.

Keywords: Nemipterus japonicas, Chitosan, Propolis extract, Coating, Shelf life.

<sup>1-</sup>Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Marine Natural Resources, Khorramshahr University of Marine Science and Technology, Khorramshahr, Iran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's Email: khodanazary@yahoo.com

# Introduction

Japanese thread fin bream (Nemipterus japonicas) is one of the demersal species belonging to the family of Nemipteridae, mainly caught in the Red Sea, East coast of African, all parts of the Persian Gulf, North Coast of the Oman Sea, Mediterranean Sea, Indian Ocean, Pacific Ocean (Russell, 1990; Golani and Sonin, 2006; Nematollahi et al., 2018). Japanese thread fin bream has become one of the seafood resource which enthusiastically welcomed by consumers in the south of Iran due to its light flesh color, low fat content and high protein content (Nurnadia et al., 2011). Japanese thread fin bream is commonly immediate sold for consumption at local stores as whole (ungutted) fish, while chilling storage has been widely used to extend its shelf life. Fish is very susceptible to lipid oxidation during refrigerated storage and it produces hydroperoxides that are readily decomposed into to secondary products. Secondary products (such as aldehydes, ketones and carboxylic acids) can lead to the formation of offodor and off-flavor, texture and color changes in seafood, even when produce in low content. Moreover, loss of quality and spoilage of seafood products occur as a result of bacteriological activity and produce metabolites that result in off-odors and off-flavors (Gram and Huss, 1996). Therefore, the use of suitable preservation methods such as active packaging and natural antioxidants can protect the quality of fish products against oxidation reaction. Combination

of chilling along with active packaging and natural food additives has been applied to augment the shelf life. In last decade, various biopolymer coatings alone/ or in combination with plant additives have been tried by researchers to improve the shelf life of fish products. Among edible coatings, chitosan is hydrophilic one with strong antimicrobial and antioxidant activities against food born pathogen and free radicals (Nowzari et al., 2013), and have been used successfully in food industry because of edibility. biodegradability, aesthetic appearance and barrier properties, being nontoxic and non-polluting, as well as carrier of foods additives. Propolis is a natural additive that is collected from leaves, flowers buds, stems, and cracks in the bark of some tree species, to which bees add saliva, wax, and pollen to elaborate the final product (Ghisalberti, 1979). Propolis extract is prepared by three methods including ethanol, glycerol, and/or water (Pobiega et al., 2019)., that ethanol extract is useful for extracting of propolis because of low content of wax (Mello et al., 2010; Mello and Hubinger, 2012). Propolis extract (PE) contains the major source of polyphenols such as flavonoids, phenolic acids and their esters and has various biological effects, including antimicrobial and antioxidant properties (Al-Naggar et al., 2016; Seibert et al., 2019). The use of PE in food preservations is often limited because its volatile aroma compounds can be influenced the unique flavor and odor (Pobiega et al., 2019). Therefore, it is verv important to determine the concentration of PE that can be applied without changes of sensory characteristics of the food products. A minimal addition of PE for acceptance sensory of food product was determined  $\leq 0.5\%$  by several researchers (Duman and Özpolat, 2015; Pobiega et al., 2019). Spinelli et al. (2015) showed that the PE could be used as an effective antioxidant on fish burgers (Spinelli et al., 2015). Moreover, Payandan et al. (2016) reported the antibacterial activity of PE on minced common carp against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and Staphylococcus aureus (Payandan et al., 2017). Previous studies have shown the in vitro antibacterial activity of PE against several Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Boyanova et al., 2006; da Silva et al., 2006; Kalogeropoulos et al., 2009; Al-Naggar et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2018). In food industry, beneficial effects of chitosan in combination with PE has been studied by Jonaidi Jafari et al. (2017) and Rollini et al. (2017). Moreover, Piedrahíta Márquez et al. (2019) reported synergistic antimicrobial and antioxidant effects by combining PE and chitosan against spoilage bacteria lipid oxidation of cachama and (Piaractus brachypomus) (Piedrahíta Márquez et al., 2019). A mixture of fish chitosan in combination of PE would seem to be suitable to protect seafood products. A little published data exist on the associated usage of chitosan coatings in combination with PE for

fishery products. Therefore, the aim of the present assay is to investigate a comparative basis antimicrobial and antioxidant effect of chitosan coating enriched with PE on quality of Japanese thread fin bream (*Nemipterus japonicas*) fillets in refrigerated condition ( $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C).

# Material and methods

Preparation of propolis extract and sample preparation

The propolis was cut into small pieces, and 1 gr of ground propolis was extracted with 10 ml of 70% ethanol by shaking at 150 RPM at 25°C for 48 h. The ethanolic extract of propolis was filtered (Whatman N0. 4 filter paper) and concentrated under vacuum with a rotary evaporator (IKA, RV 3 V, Germany) at 50 °C and the extracts were freeze dried. The samples were stored in the dark at 4°C before use (Yaghoubi and Satari, 2007).

Chitosan solution was prepared with 1% (w/v) chitosan (Sigma Chemical Co.. medium molecular weight, viscosity 200-800 cP) in 1% v/v acetic acid. To achieve complete dispersion of chitosan, the solution was stirred at temperature dissolve room to completely. Glycerol was added at 0.75 mL/g concentration as a plasticizer and stirred for 10 min (Ojagh et al., 2010). The freeze dried ethanolic extract of propolis was added to the coating at 0.1% concentrations and homogenized for 10 min by magnetic stirrer. Fillet samples were randomly assigned into four treatment lots consisting of: one control lot (un-coated), two lots coated in chitosan, three lots coated in PE, four lots treated with chitosan coating in combination with PE. To create coating, fillets were soaked in coating solution containing 0.1% propolis extract for 30 s, then the fish fillets were removed (Ojagh et al., 2010). The coated samples were allowed to drain for 5 min under a biological safety cabinet. All samples were placed in polyethylene bags, stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}C$  for 12 days. Microbiological, physicochemical, color and sensorial analysis were performed at 3-day intervals.

# Microbiological analysis

Samples were collected aseptically. The minced fillet (25g) was placed in a (Stomacher<sup>®</sup> Stomacher bag 400 Circulator. West Sussex. UK) containing 225 mL of 0.85% saline water. After mixing for 2 min in a further Stomacher blender. serial dilutions were done using the same diluent. Thereafter, 0.1 mL of the appropriate dilution was used for microbiological analysis using the spread plate method. The media and conditions used were plate count agar (PCA, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) incubated for total psychrotrophic count (PTC) at 4°C for 10 days and for total mesophilic count (TMC) at 30°C for 24-48 h. The microbial count was expressed as log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g (Sallam, 2007).

# Physicochemical analysis Determination of pH

The measurement of pH was carried out on 5 g of sample homogenised in 45 mL distilled water. The pH value of the sample was determined using a digital pH meter (Suvanich *et al.*, 2000).

# Determination of total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N)

Total volatile basic nitrogen (TVB-N) value was estimated by the microdiffusion method [27]. The micro diffusion method was determined by distillation after the addition of MgO to homogenised fish sample. The distillate was collected in a flask containing aqueous solution of boric acid and methyl red as an indicator. Afterward, the boric acid solution was titrated with sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) solution. The TVB-N value (mg N/ 100 g of fish) was according determined to the consumption of sulphoric acid. The constant 14 was used to calculate the TVB-N number using Eq. 1. TVB-N value =  $14 \times V$ (1)

V=mL of sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) solution for titration

# Determination of 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)

Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) measurment was determined following Siripatrawan and Noipha (2012) with some modification. 10 g of homogenised sample were added with 97.5 ml of distillated water and 2.5 ml of 4 M HCl. The mixture was heated with steam distillation. 5 ml of distillate was added to 5 ml of thiobarbituric reactive reagent containing 0.02 M TBA in 90% glacial acetic acid and incubated in boiling water for 35 min. After cooling, the absorbance of the pink solution was measured at 532 nm using spectophotometer. The constant 7.8 was used to calculate the TBA number using Eq. 2. The TBA value is expressed as mg malonaldehyde equivalents/ kg sample.

TBARS value =  $7.8 \text{ Abs}_{532}$  (2)

#### Determination of free fatty acid (FFA)

The free fatty acid value was determined in the lipid extract by the procedures of Woyewoda *et al.* (1986) according to the Eq. 3. Results were expressed in % of oleic acid.

## Color measurement

The color of the fish samples was measured by a Hunter lab color meter (Shanghai Precision & Scientific instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and was reported by the CIE system. The colorimeter was calibrated with a white standard. In this system,  $L^*$ represents the color lightness on a 0-100 point scale from black to white; a<sup>\*</sup> is the position between red (+) and green (-); and b<sup>\*</sup> is the position between yellow (+) and blue (-).

# Sensory evaluation

Sensory analysis of raw Nemipterus japonicas fillets was carried out using the quality index method (QIM) as shown in Table 1 by 13 panelists. Scores were given for each quality attributes according to descriptions, ranging from 0 to 5. On the first and last days of storage (day 0 and day 112), Nemipterus japonicas received a freshly: QI (quality index) =0 and completely deteriorate: OI=5.А preference score of 3 was viewed as the threshold for acceptable quality.

 Table 1: Sensory evaluation criterions of Nemipterus japonicas fillets by chitosan coating incorporated with propolis extract.

incorporated with propons extract.		
Quality attributes	Characteristics	Score
Color	Bright/ Glossy/Brightness intense	5
	Slightly bright/discoloration on the side/ Brightness	4
	loss/Partially glossy	
	Slightly bright/ Dull/pale	3
	Loss of glossiness/ a little yellow surface	2
	Loss of glossiness/opaque/ yellow surface/ opaque	1
Odor	Fresh/Specific species	5
	Destruction of fish specific smell/neutral	4
	Low rancidity	3
	Medium rancidity	2
	High rancidity/ Stinking	1
Overall acceptance	Bright/Vivid and defined /Glossy/Brightness intense/ fresh/totally acceptable	5
	Slightly bright/discoloration on the side/ Brightness loss/Partially glossy/neutral/acceptable	4
	Loss of glossiness/Dull/pale/ opaque/ reluctant acceptance	3
	Not fresh/ Rancid/Slightly ammonium/unacceptable	2
	Not fresh/ Putrid /otally unacceptable	1

# Statistical analyses

All experiments were performed in triplicate and a completely randomised design was used. Mean values ± standard deviation was reported for each case. Significant differences between means were determined by analysis of variance one-way (ANOVA) using **SPSS18.0** for Windows. Duncan's test was used to compare the means. A significance level of p < 0.05 was used. Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between bacterial counts and physicochemical values. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Friedman test were performed for analyses of the non-parametric data (sensory analysis).

# **Results and discussion**

*Changes in bacteriological changes* Total mesophilic bacteria (TMB) and psychrotrophilic (PTC) counts of *Nemipterus japonicas* fillets of different treatments stored at 4°C for 12 days is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on microbiology properties (Mesophilic and psychrotrophic bacteria) of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator. Mean values and standard errors from the three replicates are presented.

2(1) 2021 acellular consti

The initial TMB  $(\log_{10} \text{ CFU/g})$  in the all samples of Nemipterus japonicas fillet varied from 1.42 to  $1.95 \log_{10}$ CFU/g, indicating a good quality of fisheries products (Sikorski et al., 1990). The initial PTC of fresh fillets was 2.24 log CFU/g. The lower bacterial counts of coated treatments compared to control samples can be due to the antibacterial activity of chitosan propolis, resulting in lower and microbiological growth (Pereda et al., 2011; Pobiega et al., 2019). All of the treatments led to a dramatic reduction TMB and PTC in Nemipterus in *japonicas* fillet compared to the control samples. By the day 9 of storage, TMB in Nemipterus japonicas fillet were reached to about 7 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g for control samples, which is higher than the maximal recommended limit in raw fish (Sallam. 2007). showing microbiological shelf life about 7 days for the control samples. However, samples treated with chitosan or PE achieved this count at the end of the storage time. TMB values for chitosan+PE coatings did not exceed the maximal permissible limit at the end of the storage period. Kakaei and Shahbazi (2016), Mohebi and Shahbazi (2017), and Berizi et al. (2018) showed that chitosan containing plant extract could extended the shelf life of seafood during storage (Kakaei and Shahbazi, 2016; Mohebi et al., 2017; Berizi et al., 2018). The positively charged on the NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> group of glucosamine monomer in chitosan molecules interact with negatively charged macromolecules on the microbial cell surface, leading to the

leakage of intracellular constituents of microorganisms (Mohebi and the 2017). Shahbazi. Furthermore. Disruption of the lipopolysaccharide layer of the outer membrane of gramnegative bacteria by chitosan and its function as barrier а against oxygentransfer are shown by Pereda et al. (2011) and Jeon et al. (2002). Moreover. **Propolis** extract has antimicrobial activity against a wide of microorganisms spectrum (Khodabakhshi et al., 2019). Results are in accordance with other studies reporting a reduction in TMC and PTC with the addition of plant extracts in seafood (Van Haute et al., 2016; Rezaei and Shahbazi, 2017). Hassanin and El-Daly (2013) and Payandan et al. (2016) reported to be effective as antimicrobial agents on inhibition of the growth of spoilage bacteria of fishery products (Hassanin and El-Daly, 2013; Payandan et al., 2016). Thus, the incorporation of PE in chitosan coating can remarkably delay the growth of bacteria. Jonaidi Jafari et al. (2017) showed that chitosan edible coating containing Propolis extract could extended the shelf life of chicken fillet during storage at refrigerator (Jonaidi Jafari et al., 2017). The antimicrobial activity of propolis extract has been ascribed to the presence of bioactive compounds such flavonoids tectochrysin, as (e.g., pinobanksin, pinocembrin, chrysin, galangin, apigenin, kaempferol), which show antibacterial effect (Pobiega et al., 2019). Moreover, the in vitro and in vivo antimicrobial activity of propolis against bacteria has been reported by

Seibert *et al.* (2019) and Khodabakhshi *et al.* (2019). Duman and Özpolat (2015) stated how bacterial growth in PE coated *Barbus grypus* reached less than that uncoated samples (Duman and Özpolat, 2015). The result of the present study indicated that the propolis extract used in fresh fillet coated with chitosan leads to a reduction in microbial contamination during long storage time.

# Changes in physicochemical changes Changes in pH value

Figure 2 shows the changes in pH value of Nemipterus japonicas fillets during refrigerated storage with different treatments. The initial pH value of Nemipterus japonicas fillets was between 6.16 and 6.33. According to He and Xiao (2016), the initial pH value of fish varies between 6.0 and 7.0. Such variations in the chemical composition of fish is related to the species, diet, season, and the level of activity or stress during the catch as well as the type of muscle (He and Xiao, 2016). During storage, the pH values gradually increased for control sample and samples treated by chitosan/ or PE and chitosan+PE. Storage time had a significant (p < 0.05) effect on the pH values until the end of the storage 12). period (day However, no significant is observed for coated samples with chitosan+PE (p>0.05)during storage. The increase of pH might be attributed to accumulation of alkaline compounds (such as biogenic amines and ammonia) generated from

both endogenous enzymes and enzymatic actions of psychrotrophic bacteria (Nirmal and Benjakul, 2011; Songa et al., 2011). The pH values of coated with treated samples bv chitosan/ or PE and chitosan+PE were consistently lower than that of the control sample during storage. It was found that controls samples had higher pH than coated treatments (p < 0.05). Overall in terms of the whole storage significant period. difference was observed in the pH values between control (7.62) and coated samples with chitosan+PE (p>0.05).Piedrahíta Márquez et al. (2019) reported that chitosan alone or in combination with propolis could decrease pH values of cachama (Piaractus brachypomus). Moreover, Jonaidi Jafari et al. (2017) showed that chitosan+propolis coating is effective in reducing pH values on chicken breast meat (Jonaidi Jafari et al., 2017). Berizi et al. (2018) showed that chitosan in combination with natural additives could notably decrease pH value in food product (Berizi et al., 2018) The pH value is positively correlated with TVB-N as increase in volatile amine lead to increase of pH which is showed in this research. Moreover. There was a positive correlation between TMC and pH in treated samples ( $R^2=0.483-0.947$ ) and controls ( $R^2=0.905$ ).




Figure 2: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on pH content of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator.

Mean values and standard errors from the three replicates are presented.

## Changes in TVB-N value

Changes of TVB-N content of all samples during the storage are shown in Figure 3. The initial TVB-N varied from 5.93 mg N /100 g in samples treated with chitosan to 6.86 mg N /100 g in control samples. As shown in Figure 3, TVB-N content of fresh Nemipterus japonicas fillets progressively increased along with the time of storage for both control and coated samples. At day 9 of storage, the TVB-N value of control was higher than the others and the lowest value was 18.27 mg N /100 g in the samples coated with chitosan+PE at the end of the storage. The increase of TVB-N is probably due to the activity of endogenous enzymes, spoilage bacteria and the subsequently increase of microbial degradation products including ammonia, primary, secondary, and tertiary amines (Kakaei and Shahbazi, 2016). There was a positive correlation between TMC and TVB-N in treated samples (R<sup>2</sup>=0.7740.897) and controls ( $R^2=0.911$ ). Based on our findings, the samples coated with chitosan+PE delayed the increase speed of TVB-N compared to the other treatments (p < 0.05) due to the presence of bioactive phenolic compounds in propolis extract. which could remarkably inhibit capacity of bacteria for oxidative deamination of nonprotein nitrogen compounds. It can be chitosan coating concluded. in combination with PE is more effective than coating alone in controlling TVB-N of fillets, suggesting that chitosan coating demonstrated synergism in retarding of TVB-N value when used in combination with PE. Similarly, Payandan et al. (2017), Hassanin and El-Daly (2003), and Bodini et al. (2013) reported that presence of propolis extract could be effectively inhibit the increase of microbial count (Payandan et al., 2017; Hassanin and El-Daly, 2003; Bodini et al., 2013).




Figure 3: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on TVB-N content of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator. Mean values and standard errors from the three replicates are presented.

Jonaidi Jafari et al. (2017) also found lower TVB-N contents in treatments of chicken muscle with chitosan coating containing ethanolic extract of propolis compared to control sample (Jonaidi Jafari et al., 2017. Piedrahíta Márquez et al. (2019) reported that chitosan coatings combination with propolis extract were highly efficient in the prevention of microbiological development in cachama (Piaractus brachypomus), resulting in lower TVB-N compared with other treatments (Piedrahíta Márquez et al., 2019). According to the highest acceptable level of TVB-N (25 mg N/100 g fish muscle) (Kilincceker et al., 2009), the control, fish coated with chitosan/ or PE were spoiled on days 9 and 12, respectively. However, TVB-N value of samples coated with chitosan+PE was less 25 mg N/100 g fish sample after 12 days.

#### Changes in TBARS value

Changes in **TBARS** value of Nemipterus japonicas are shown in Figure 4. The initial TBA values were ranged from 0.01 mg malonaldehyde/kg sample to 0.03 mg malonaldehyde/kg sample. During storage, TBARS value of the control samples were significantly higher than other treatments (p < 0.05), which are in accordance with the results reported by Jonaidi Jafari *et al.* (2017) and Piedrahíta Márquez et al. (2019). The increase in TBARS of control samples during storage may be attributed to the partial dehydration of fish and to the increased oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids (Al-Qurashi and Awad, 2018). Generally, no significant difference was presented in the TBARS values of chitosan, PE and chitosan+PE samples throughout the storage time.




Figure 4: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on TBARS content of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator. Mean values and standard errors from the three replicates are presented.

This showed that chitosan coatings alone or with PE as natural antioxidant can be used to retard lipid oxidation in Nemipterus japonicas fillets during storage. According to Jeon et al. (2002), chitosan coatings on herring and cod fillets had lower contents of TBARS than those of the uncoated samples during storage time (Jeon et al., 2002). This protection against oxidation of lipid in fish samples may be associated to the synergism between the coating properties of an oxygen barrier and the antioxidant activity of the chitosan (Ojagh et al., 2010; Antoniewski et al., 2007). The addition of PE to chitosan-coated samples can increase the antioxidant activity of the chitosan. However, in this study, there was no significant difference in the content of fillet between TBARS chitosan and chitosan-PE coated samples. The antioxidant mechanism of propolis could be through radical scavenging capacities with antioxidant agent (polyphenolics compounds such as flavonoids and cinnamic acid devrivatives), and /or protection of antioxidant food ingredients through forming a biodegradable coating (oxygen barrier properties) treated samples during storage (Pobiega et al., 2019; Al-Qurashi and Awad, 2018). A lower TBARS content for rainbow trout fillets treated by propoils extract was reported by Adnani et al. (2017). Spinelli et al. (2015) added 5% spraydried propolis to a suitable fish-burger formula and showed lower lipid oxidation than the control because of higher phenol content and higher sequestrating activity on 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Spinelli et al., 2015). In another study, the lipid oxidation of the burger meat was reduced by using microencapsulation propoils extract (Reis et al., 2017). According to our study, similar effects were observed. TBARS values of 1 to 2 mg malonaldehyde/kg muscle are an

acceptable sensory limit (Shakila *et al.*, 2005). In the current study, TBARS values for all samples were less than 2 mg malonaldehyde/ kg muscle at the end of the storage. This indicates that the PE with or without chitosan coatings are able to reduce the lipid oxidation, resulting in lower rancidity compared with other samples.

#### Changes in FFA value

Low initial FFA values (0.30 to 0.36 % of oleic acid) suggested that the fillets were good quality (Fig. 5), which is in agreement with the relatively low PTC of 2.24 log CFU/g. FFA value gradually increased in all samples over the time, which could be due to the enzymatic hydrolysis of fish fats during storage at refrigerator (Serdaroĝlu and Felekoĝlu, 2005). According to our results, FFA value in the samples treated by propolis coatings, chitosan coatings with or without PE was significantly lower than

control group (p < 0.05). This reduction may be due to antioxidant and antibacterial effect of propolis extract (phenolic and flavonoid compounds of propolis) and chitosan, which could reduce of free radicals and secondary compounds of lipid oxidation such as TBARS, as well as psychrotrophic bacteria population. There was a positive correlation between PTC and FFA in treated samples (R =0.761-(0.828) and control ones (R=876). Antioxidative feature of propolis was demonstrated in the study of Seibert et al. (2019) which is in agreement with our research (Seibert et al., 2019). According to Pobiega et al. (2019), there is significant relationship between the phenolic compounds and free-radical scavenging activity of PE (Pobiega et al., 2019). Some researchers indicated that chitosan coating has positive effects on reducing FFA (Ojagh et al., 2010; Nowzari et al., 2013).




Figure 5: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on FFA content of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator Mean values and standard errors from the three replicates are presented.

#### International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies 2(1) 2021

#### Changes in color

Color values of Nemipterus japonicas fillets coated with and without PE during refrigerated storage for 12 days are shown in Figure 6. Nemipterus iaponicas fillets of control and chitosan+PE samples showed а decrease in L\* values with increasing storage time, which is associated with oxidation of red oxymyoglobin to metmyoglobin, yielding muscle with an unattractive brown color. However, Lightness of the chitosan and / PE treatments did not change significantly over time. The samples coated with chitosan+PE had lower changes in L\* values compared to the control. chitosan and PE (p < 0.05). The increase in lightness of chitosan+PE compared to other treatments may be explained by the protein denaturation and hence decreasing in bond water (Kamani et al., 2017). In all samples, a significant increase in a\* values was observed throughout the storage time, indicating the increase in redness of samples. The highest change was observed in control samples, while coated fillets showed a slight increase in a\* values, compared to control samples. Thus the application of chitosan coatings either without or with PE, on fish fillet surface could increase the stability of the red meat color to some extent. The increase in b\* value was possibly associated with the increase in TBARS value. Samples with chitosan/PE coated and chitosan+PE showed the lower changes in color values, probably due to the antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of chitosan and PE. Thus, chitosan

coatings and PE could retard the color changes of fillets to some extent during the storage. At day 0 of storage, b\* values were not significantly different between treatments. Yellowness of the control, chitosan and PE did not change significantly over time. Nevertheless, the chitosan+PE application techniques influenced а slight decrease in vellowness during storage compared to the initial b\* values. These results showed that chitosan coatings containing PE were capable of retarding discoloration of fish fillets during storage.

#### Sensory analysis

The coating effect of chitosan incorporated with PE on the general aspect of Nemipterus japonicas fillet is shown in Figure 7. Sensory attributes of fish were divided into 3 elements including color, odor and overall acceptance, whose scores were given for each quality attributes according to descriptions, ranging from 1 to 5, the higher preference level, the higher element score. At day 0, all samples had the score 5 for attributes tested, but the scores decreased significantly with extended time (p < 0.05), with slower decrease for the chitosan coating fillets incorporated with PE. The fish with scores of below 3 were unacceptable with a sign of putrid odor, no shiny color and overall unacceptability.




Figure 6: Combined effect of chitosan and propolis extract on color changes of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator.

The increasing off-odor of uncoated samples could be smelt from 6<sup>th</sup> days backwards and the fish fillet was unaccepted after 9 days of storage (score=1). However, samples coated with chitosan+PE obtained higher

scores than PE/or chitosan-based coated fillets during storage, suggesting that PE and chitosan can improve organoleptic quality of refrigerated fillets.

International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Stud ies 2(1) 2021 37




Figure 7: Changes in a) color; b) odor; c) overall preference of *Nemipterus japonicas* during storage at refrigerator.

The deterioration of the organoleptic quality was correlated with the microbial spoilage and physicochemical analysis, caused the change of surface of color of samples (Hui *et al.*, 2016).

The antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of chitosan and PE has been shown to extend the shelf life of fish approximately 3 days for chitosan, PE and chitosan+PE coated samples. This

result was in agreement with that of TVB-N values and bacterial counts, which suggested that coated treatments was effective to delay sensory changes of fish fillet.

# Conclusion

The results presented in this study indicated that chitosan coating incorporated with PE of Nemipterus *japonicas* fillet effectively retarded the growth of total mesophilic bacteria and psychrotrophic bacteria. This study showed that chitosan in combination with PE treatment could effectively inhibit lipid oxidation and protein decomposition, and could improve color, and sensory attributes within acceptable limits during the storage, and could extend the shelf life of fish fillets for >10 days compared with the control. Therefore, natural antioxidant and antibacterial (chitosan and PE) may be a promising method of maintaining the storage quality of Nemipterus *japonicas* and extending its shelf life.

# References

- Adnani, A., Darvishi, S. and Mohammadi, K., 2017. Evaluation of the effects of extraction of Kurdistan propolis on biochemical and microbiological parameters of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal, 25(4), 41-52.
- Al-Naggar, Y., Sun, J., Robertson, A., Giesy, J.P. and Wiseman, S., 2016. Chemical characterization and antioxidant properties of Canadian

propolis. *Journal of Apicultural Research*, 55, 4, 305-314.

- Al-Qurashi, A.D. and Awad, M.A., 2018. Postharvest ethanolic extract of propolis treatment affects quality and biochemical changes of 'Hindi-Besennara' mangos during shelf life. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 233, 520-525.
- Antoniewski, M.N., Barringer, S.A., Knipe, C.L. and Zerby, H.N.,
  2007. Effect of a gelatin coating on the shelf life of fresh meat. *Journal* of Food Science, 72, 382-387.
- Berizi E., Hosseinzadeh, S.,
  Shekarforoush, S.S., Barbieri, G.,
  2018. Microbial, chemical, textural and sensory properties of coated rainbow trout by chitosan combined with pomegranate peel extract during frozen storage. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 106, 1004-1013.
- Bodini, R.B., Sobral, P.J.A., Favaro-Trindade, C.S. and Carvalho, R.A., 2013. Properties of gelatinbased films with added ethanolepropolis extract. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 51, 104– 110.
- Boyanova, L., Kolarov, R., Gergova,
  G. and Mitov, I., 2006. In vitro activity of Bulgarian propolis against 94 clinical isolates of anaerobic bacteria. *Anaerobe*, 12, 173–177.
- da Silva, J.F.M., de Souza, M.C., Matta, S.R., de Andrade, M.R. and Vidal, F.V.N., 2006. Correlation analysis between phenolic levels of Brazilian propolis

extracts and their antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. *Food Chemistry*, 99, 431–435.

- **Duman, M. and Özpolat, E., 2015.** Effects of water extract of propolis on fresh shibuta (Barbus grypus) fillets during chilled storage. *Food Chemistry*, 189, 80–85.
- Ghisalberti, E.L., 1979. Propolis: a review. *Bee World*, 60, 59-84.
- Golani, D. and Sonin, O., 2006. The Japanese threadfin bream *Nemipterus japonicus* a new Indo-Pacific fish in the Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Fish Biology, 68, 940–943
- Goulas, A.E. and Kontominas, M.G., 2005. Effect of salting and smokingmethod on the keeping quality of chub mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*): Biochemical and sensory attributes. *Food Chemistry*, 93, 511–520.
- Gram, L. and Huss, H., 1996. Microbiological spoilage of fish and fish products. *Food Microbiology*, 33, 121-137.
- Hassanin, S.I.A. and El-Daly, E.S.A., 2013. Effect of propolis and garlic on Nile Tilapia Oreochromis niloticus fillets during frozen storage. *Journal of Arabian Aquaculture Society*, 8(1), 237–248.
- He, Q. and Xiao, K., 2016. The effects of tangerine peel (*Citri reticulatae pericarpium*) essential oils as glazing layer on freshness preservation of bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*) duringsuperchilling storage. *Food Control*, 69, 339-345.
- Hui, G.H., Liu, W., Feng, H.L., Li, J. and Gao, Y.Y., 2016. Effects of

chitosan combined with nisin treatment on storage quality of large yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*). Food Chemistry, 203, 276-282.

- Jeon, C.O., Kamil, Y.V.A. and Shahidi, F., 2002. Chitosan as an edible invisible film for quality preservation of herring and Atlantic cod. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 50, 5167-5178.
- Jonaidi Jafari, N.J., Kargozari, M., Ranjbar, R., Rostami, H. and Hamedi, H., 2017. The effect of chitosan coating incorporated with ethanolic extract of propolis on the quality of refrigerated chicken fillet. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 42(1), e13336.
- Kakaei, S. and Shahbazi, Y., 2016. Effect of chitosan-gelatin film incorporated withethanolic red grape seed and Ziziphora extract clinopodioides essential oil on survival of Listeriamonocytogenes and chemical, microbial and sensory properties of minced trout fillet, LWT - FoodScience and Technology, 72, 432-438.
- Kalogeropoulos, N., Konteles, S.J., Troullidou, E., Mourtzinos, I. and Karathanos, V.T., 2009. Chemical composition, antioxidante activity and antimicrobial properties of propolis extracts from Greece and Cyprus. *Food Chemistry*, 116, 452– 461.
- Kamani, M.H., Safari, O., Mortazavi, S.A., Mehraban Sang Atash, M. and Morgan Azghadi, N., 2017. Usingan image processing based

technique and predictive models for assessing lipidoxidation in rainbow trout fillet, *Food Bioscience*, 19, 42-48.

- Khodabakhshi, D., Eskandarinia, A.,
  Kefayat, A., Rafienia, M., Navid,
  S., Karbasi, S. and Moshtaghian,
  J., 2019. In vitro and in vivo
  performance of a propolis-coated
  polyurethane wound dressing with
  high porosity and antibacterial
  efficacy. Colloids and Surface B:
  Biointerfaces, 178, 177-184.
- Kilincceker, O., Dogan, I.S. and Kucukoner, E., 2009. Effect of edible coatings on the quality of frozen fish fillets.*LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 42, 868– 873.
- Mello, B.C.B.S., Petrus, J.C.C. and Hubinger, M.D., 2010. Concentration of flavonoids and phenolic compounds in aqueous and ethanolic propolis extracts through nanofiltration. *Journal of Food Processing and Enginnering*, 96, 533–539.
- Mello, C.B.S. and Hubinger, M.D., 2012. Antioxidant activity and polyphenol contents in Brazilian green propolis extracts prepared with the use of ethanol and water as solvents in different pH values. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 47, 2510– 2518.
- Mohebi, E. and Shahbazi, Y., 2017. Application of chitosan and gelatin based activepackaging films for peeled shrimp preservation: A novel

functional wrapping design. *LWT* - *FoodScience and Technology*, 76, 108-116

- Nematollahi, A., Shahbazi, **P..** Abbasi, M.F., Ghaemmaghami, S. and Mobedi, I., 2018. The first report of Nematode (Contracaecum) Acanthocephala and two (Serrasentis sagittifer, Tenuiosentis niloticus) in PersianGulf's Japanese threadfin bream (Nemipterus *japonicus*). Comparative Clinical Pathology, 27, 1303-1307.
- Nirmal, N.P. and Benjakul, S., 2011. Retardation of quality changes of Pacific white shrimp by green tea extract treatment and modified atmosphere packaging during refrigerated storage. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 149, 247-253.
- Nowzari, F., Shabanpour, B. and Ojagh, S.M., 2013. Comparison of chitosan-gelatin composite and bilayer coating and film effect on the quality of refrigerated rainbow trout. *Food Chemistry*, 141, 1667-1672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2 013.03.022
- Nurnadia, A.A., Azrina, A. and Amini, I., 2011. Proximate composition and energetic value of selected marine fish and shellfish from the West coast of Peninsular Malaysia. International Food Research Journal, 18, 137-148.
- **Ojagh, S.M., Rezaei, M., Razavi, S.H. and Hosseini, S.M.H., 2010.** Effect of chitosan coatings enriched with cinnamon oil on the quality of

refrigerated rainbow trout. *Food Chemistry*, 120, 193-198.

- Payandan, E., Zayyed-Alangi, S.Z., Shamloofar, M. and Koohsari, H., 2017. Study of chemical composition and efficacy of different extracts of Iranian propolis on the microbiological and sensory parameters of minced Cyprinus carpio meat at 4°C storage. Journal Food of Aquatic Product Technology, 26(5), 593-603.
- Pereda, M., Ponce, A.G., Marcovich, N.E., Ruseckaite, R.A. and and Martucci, J.F., 2011. Chitosangelatin composites and bi-layer films with potential antimicrobial activity. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 25, 1372-1381.
- Piedrahíta Márquez, **D.G.**, Fuenmayor, C.A. and Mahecha 2019. Effect **H.S.** of chitosan-propolis edible coatings on stability of refrigerated cachama (Piaractus brachypomus) vacuum-packed fish fillets. Packaging Technology and Science, 32, 1-11.
- Pobiega, K., Kraśniewska, K. and Gniewosz, M., 2019. Application of propolis in antimicrobial and antioxidative protection of food quality- a review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 83, 53-62.
- Reis, A. S., Diedrich, C., Moura, C., Pereira, D., de Florio Almeida, C., Silva, L. D., 2017. Physico-chemical characteristics of microencapsulated propolis co-product extract and its effect on storage stability of burger meat during storage at -15°C. *LWT*-

*Food Science and Technology*, 76, 306–313.

- Rezaei, F. and Shahbazi, Y., 2017. Shelf-life extension and quality attributes of sauced silver carp fillet: A comparison among direct addition, edible coating and biodegradable film, *LWT – Food Science and Technology*, Vol. 11, No 3, 42-53.
- Rollini, M., Mascheroni, E., Capretti,
  G., Coma, V. and Musatti, A.,
  2017. Propolis and chitosan as antimicrobial and polyphenols retainer for the development of paper based active packaging materials. *Food Packaging and Shelf Life*, 14, 75–82.
- **Russell B.C., 1990.** FAO species catalogue, family Nemipteridae, an annotated and illustrated catalogue of Nemipterid species known to date. *FAO Fish Synop*, 12, 125.
- Sallam, K.I., 2007. Antimicrobial and antioxidant effects of sodium acetate, sodium lactate, and sodium citrate in refrigerated sliced salmon. *Food Control*, 18, 566–575.
- Seibert, J.B., Bautista-Silva, J.P., Amparo, T.R., Patita, A., Pervier, P., dos Santos, J.C., Azevedo, M.C., Silveira, B.M., Brandão, Souza, G.H.B., **G.C.**, de de Medeiros Teixeira, L.F. and dos Santos, O.D.H., 2019. Development of propolis nanoemulsion with antioxidant and antimicrobial activity for use as a potential natural preservative. Food Chemistry, 287, 61-67.
- Serdaroĝlu, M. and Felekoĝlu, E., 2005. Effects of using rosemary

extract and onion juice on oxidative stability of sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) mince. *Journal of Food Quality*, 28, 109-120.

- Shakila, R., Jeyasekaran, G. and Vijayalakshmi, S., 2005. Effect of vacuum packaging on the quality characteristics of seerfish (*Scomberomorus commersonii*) chunks during refrigerated storage. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 42, 438-443.
- Sikorski, Z.E., Kolakowska, A. and Burt, J.R., 1990. Post-harvest biochemical and microbial changes seafood. In Z.E. Sikorski (Ed.), Resources nutritional composition and preservation (pp. 55- 75). Boca Raton: CRC Press Inc.
- Silva, F.R.G., Matias, T.M.S., Souza, L.I.O., Matos-Rocha, T.J.. Fonseca, S.A., Mousinho, K.C. and Santos, A.F., 2018. Phytochemical screening and in vitro antibacterial, antifungal, antioxidant and anitumor activities of the red própolis Brazilian Journal Alagoas. of Biology, Vol. 6, No 2, 14-25.
- Siripatrawan, U. and Noipha, S., 2012. Active film from chitosan incorporating green tea extract for shelf life extension of pork sausages. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 27, 102-108.
- Songa, Y., Liua, L., Shenb, H., Youa, J. and Luoa, Y., 2011. Effect of sodium alginate-based edible coating containing different anti-oxidantson quality and shelf life of refrigerated bream (Megalobrama

*amblycephala*). *Food Control*, 22, 608-615.

- Spinelli, S., Conte, A., Lecce, L., Incoronato, A.L. and del Nobile, M.A., 2015. Microencapsulated propolis to enhance the antioxidant properties of fresh fish burgers. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 38(6), 527–535.
- Suvanich, V., Jahncke, M.L. and Marshall, D.L., 2000. Changes selected chemical quality characteristics of channel catfish frame mince during chill and frozen storage. *Food Science*, 65(1), 24-29.
- Van Haute, S., Raes, K., Van der Meeren, P. and Sampers, I., 2016. The effect of cinnamon, oregano and thyme essential oils in marinade on the microbial shelf life of fish and meat products. *Food Control*, 68, 30-39.
- Woyewoda, A.D., Shaw, S.J., Ke, P.J.
  and Burns, B.G., 1986.
  Recommended laboratory methods for assessment of fish quality.
  Canadian Technical Report of Fish and Aquatic Science, 1448.
- Yaghoubi, M. and Satari, R., 2007. Antimicrobial activity of Iranian propolis and its chemical composition. *DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 15(1), 45-48.