



Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana and Metabolic Health: A Critical Appraisal of Ayurvedic Dietary Principles and Contemporary Scientific Evidence

Dr. Jyoti Hiremath^{1*}, Dr. Hemraj², Dr. Manju Saini³, Dr. Ashwani Kumar⁴, Dr. Amol Koshti⁵

¹Assistant Professor. Department of Swasthavritta Evam Yoga Government Ayurvedic College patiala Punjab

²Principal and Professor, P.G. Department of kayachikitsa. D.B.A.C.&Hospital.Mandi Gobindgarh .Punjab

³Associate Professor. Department of kayachikitsa.Govt.Ayurvedic.College Patiala Punjab

⁴Medical officer. NIA Jaipur Rajasthan

⁵Professor, Swasthavritta & Yoga. Shree Swaminarayan Ayurvedic college, Kalol, Gandhinagar

Abstract

Dietary behaviour is an important determinant of metabolic health, influencing energy balance, glucose homeostasis, lipid metabolism, and body weight regulation. In Ayurveda, *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* describes principles governing the appropriate consumption of food, encompassing dietary quantity, quality, timing, preparation, eating rate, and attentional behaviour. This article critically appraises these principles in relation to contemporary scientific evidence on metabolic health and preventive nutrition.

The review examines classical dietary recommendations, including *Matra-vat Ashniyat* (appropriate food quantity), *Ushnam Ashniyat* (consumption of appropriately warm food), *Jirne Ashniyat* (eating in relation to previous meal digestion), and *Tanmana Bhunjita* (attentive eating). Their potential relevance is evaluated against current evidence concerning energy intake, appetite regulation, glycaemic control, meal timing, eating speed, and dietary patterns. Particular emphasis is placed on distinguishing conceptual similarities from demonstrated physiological mechanisms and clinically established outcomes.

The appraisal suggests that several principles, particularly portion awareness, regular eating practices, and mindful food consumption, have plausible parallels in contemporary nutritional science. However, these correspondences should not be interpreted as proof that every classical recommendation independently improves metabolic outcomes. Variability in study designs, operational definitions, populations, and outcome measures limits direct comparisons. Future research should develop standardized assessment instruments and investigate associations between adherence to *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* and validated metabolic indicators through well-designed observational and interventional studies. A scientifically integrated framework may strengthen preventive dietary counselling while preserving critical evaluation of traditional knowledge.

Keywords: *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana*; *Swasthavritta*; metabolic health; dietary behaviour; mindful eating; preventive nutrition.

1. Introduction

Diet is a major modifiable determinant of health, influencing energy balance, glucose homeostasis, lipid metabolism, and body weight regulation. Unhealthy dietary patterns and physical inactivity contribute to the increasing burden of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and other non-communicable diseases. Contemporary preventive healthcare therefore emphasizes balanced nutrition, dietary diversity, appropriate food quantity, and healthy eating practices. [1]

Ayurveda recognizes *Ahara* as an essential factor in the maintenance of health and prevention of disease. Within *Swasthavritta*, *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* describes principles governing the appropriate consumption of food, including its quality, quantity, preparation, timing, and manner of eating. These principles provide a traditional framework for understanding dietary behaviour beyond the nutritional composition of individual food items. [2]

The concept of *Ashta Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana*, described in classical Ayurvedic literature, identifies eight factors influencing dietary suitability and utilization. These include *Prakriti*, *Karana*, *Samyoga*, *Rashi*, *Desha*, *Kala*, *Upayoga Samstha*, and *Upayokta*. Collectively, these considerations emphasize the importance of food characteristics, processing, quantity, environmental context, and individual variability in dietary assessment. [3]

Several principles of *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* have conceptual parallels with contemporary nutritional science. Appropriate food quantity relates to energy balance, while attentive eating and eating speed may influence appetite awareness and food intake. Similarly, dietary quality and food composition affect postprandial glucose responses and overall nutritional adequacy. However, conceptual similarities do not independently establish equivalent physiological mechanisms or clinical outcomes. [4]

Despite increasing interest in traditional dietary practices, the relationship between adherence to *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* and objectively measured metabolic outcomes requires further investigation. Differences in terminology, assessment methods, study populations, and research designs limit direct comparisons between classical descriptions

and contemporary scientific findings. Standardized assessment tools and well-designed clinical and observational studies are needed to evaluate these relationships systematically. [5]

The present article critically examines the principles of *Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana* in relation to contemporary evidence on metabolic health, with particular emphasis on energy regulation, glucose metabolism, dietary behaviour, and preventive nutrition. It also identifies areas of convergence, limitations in existing evidence, and priorities for future research to support the scientific evaluation of traditional dietary principles in contemporary healthcare. [6]

2. Conceptual Framework of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana

2.1. Classical Concept

In Ayurveda, Ahara is regarded as a fundamental determinant of nourishment, health maintenance, and disease prevention. Dietary guidance extends beyond the selection of food to include its quantity, preparation, compatibility, timing, and method of consumption. Within Swasthavritta, these principles provide a framework for understanding how dietary practices may contribute to health promotion and preventive care. [7]

The Charaka Samhita, particularly Vimana Sthana 1.21 and 1.24, describes two closely related concepts: Ashta Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana, which identifies eight factors influencing the suitability of food, and Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana, which outlines appropriate practices for eating. These concepts consider both the characteristics of food and the circumstances of its consumption. [8]

2.2. Ashta Ahara Vidhi Visheshayatana

The eight factors described in Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana 1.21, provide a structured approach to evaluating dietary suitability. They encompass the natural properties of food, its processing, combinations, quantity, place, time, rules of consumption, and the individual consuming it. Their contemporary relevance can be explored through nutritional adequacy, energy intake, dietary patterns, and individual differences. while Ashtang Sangraha describe only 7 factors of dietary suitability.

Table 1. Eight factors of dietary suitability described in Ayurveda

Sanskrit term	Meaning	Potential contemporary relevance
<i>Prakriti</i>	Natural characteristics of food	Food composition
<i>Karana</i>	Processing or preparation	Cooking and food processing
<i>Samyoga</i>	Combination of food substances	Meal composition and nutrient interactions
<i>Rashi</i>	Quantity of food	Portion size and energy intake
<i>Desha</i>	Place or context	Local food availability and environmental influences
<i>Kala</i>	Time or temporal context	Meal timing and seasonal considerations
<i>Upayoga Samstha</i>	Rules of food consumption	Eating practices and dietary routines
<i>Upayokta</i>	The person consuming food	Individual dietary requirements

Source: Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana 1.21. Contemporary relevance represents possible areas of comparison, not proven equivalence. [9]

2.3. Principles of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana

In Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana 1.24, dietary guidelines include consuming appropriately warm and unctuous food, maintaining suitable quantity, eating after digestion of the previous meal, avoiding incompatible food, and neither eating too rapidly nor too slowly. The text also emphasizes eating in a suitable environment and maintaining attention during meals. [10]

Table 2. Dietary practices and their potential scientific relevance

Classical principle	Interpretation	Area for scientific evaluation

<i>Ushnam Ashniyat</i>	Eat appropriately warm food	Food temperature and acceptability
<i>Snigdham Ashniyat</i>	Consume appropriately unctuous food	Dietary fat quality and satiety
<i>Matra-vat Ashniyat</i>	Eat an appropriate quantity	Energy intake and weight regulation
<i>Jirne Ashniyat</i>	Eat after digestion of the previous meal	Meal intervals and eating patterns
<i>Virya Aviruddham Ashniyat</i>	Avoid incompatible food	Dietary compatibility
<i>Ishta Deshe Ashniyat</i>	Eat in a suitable place	Eating environment
<i>Na Atidrutam Ashniyat</i>	Avoid eating too rapidly	Eating speed and satiety
<i>Na Ativilambitam Ashniyat</i>	Avoid eating too slowly	Meal duration
<i>Ajalpan, Ahasan, Tanmana Bhunjita</i>	Eat attentively without unnecessary talking or laughter	Attentive eating and distraction

Source: Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana 1.24. [10]

2.4. Relevance to Metabolic Health

The potential relevance of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana to metabolic health lies in its emphasis on dietary quantity, eating behaviour, food characteristics, and individual suitability. Appropriate portion sizes can be examined in relation to energy balance, while eating speed and attentiveness can be investigated in relation to food intake and satiety. Contemporary nutrition guidance similarly emphasizes balanced energy intake, dietary quality, and the importance of individual nutritional requirements. [11]

However, conceptual similarities do not establish that every classical dietary recommendation independently improves metabolic outcomes. Each principle requires clear operational definitions and evaluation using measurable indicators, such as body weight, fasting glucose, lipid profile, and other relevant metabolic parameters. This distinction is essential for integrating traditional dietary knowledge with contemporary scientific evidence.

3. Metabolic Health: Contemporary Scientific Perspective

3.1. Definition and Concept of Metabolic Health

Metabolic health refers to the effective regulation of physiological processes involved in glucose metabolism, lipid metabolism, blood pressure, and body fat distribution. It is commonly evaluated through measurable clinical and biochemical indicators rather than a single universal parameter. Impairments in these indicators may increase the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. [12]

Metabolic health is closely associated with dietary patterns, physical activity, body composition, and other lifestyle factors. Contemporary preventive healthcare emphasizes early identification of metabolic risk through clinical assessment and appropriate laboratory investigations. Because diagnostic definitions and thresholds can vary across guidelines and populations, metabolic health should be interpreted using established clinical criteria rather than an assumed single definition. [13]

3.2. Major Indicators of Metabolic Health

Assessment of metabolic health generally includes anthropometric measurements, blood pressure, and biochemical parameters. Waist circumference provides information about central adiposity, while fasting glucose and lipid measurements help assess glucose and lipid regulation. These indicators are particularly relevant when evaluating the risk of metabolic syndrome and related chronic diseases. [14]

Table 3. Major indicators used in metabolic health assessment

Indicator	What it assesses	Clinical relevance
Body mass index (BMI)	Weight relative to height	Screening for weight-related risk

Waist circumference	Central adiposity	Cardiometabolic risk assessment
Fasting blood glucose	Glucose regulation	Screening for dysglycaemia
HbA1c	Average blood glucose over approximately 2–3 months	Assessment of long-term glycaemic status
Triglycerides	Circulating blood fats	Lipid and metabolic risk
HDL cholesterol	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol	Component of metabolic syndrome assessment
Blood pressure	Arterial pressure	Cardiovascular risk assessment
Insulin sensitivity	Response of tissues to insulin	Assessment of glucose-regulatory function

Source: Endocrine Society clinical practice guidance and WHO diabetes monitoring guidance. [15]

These indicators should be interpreted collectively and in the context of the individual's age, sex, ethnicity, medical history, and relevant clinical guidelines. For example, metabolic syndrome is commonly identified by the presence of at least three of five risk factors: increased waist circumference, elevated triglycerides, reduced HDL cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, and elevated fasting glucose. The appropriate waist circumference thresholds depend on the population being assessed. [16]

3.3. Dietary Behaviour and Metabolic Regulation

Dietary behaviour influences metabolic regulation through energy intake, nutrient composition, meal patterns, and appetite control. Excessive energy intake may contribute to weight gain, while dietary patterns rich in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, and other nutrient-dense foods support a healthier nutritional profile. The metabolic effects of dietary practices depend on the overall dietary pattern, individual characteristics, and sustained adherence. [17]

Meal timing, portion size, eating speed, and attention during meals are additional areas of nutritional research. These factors may influence food intake and eating patterns, but their effects on long-term metabolic outcomes vary and should not be presumed from theoretical similarities alone. Their relevance to Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana requires evaluation against appropriately designed human studies and objective metabolic measures. [18]

3.4. Clinical Significance

Early identification of metabolic risk enables timely dietary counselling, physical activity interventions, and clinical management where indicated. Monitoring anthropometric, biochemical, and cardiovascular parameters can help identify individuals at increased risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Lifestyle interventions are therefore important components of preventive healthcare, alongside appropriate medical assessment and treatment. [19]

4. Critical Appraisal of Ayurvedic Dietary Principles in the Context of Metabolic Health

The principles of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana provide a traditional framework for understanding food consumption through quantity, timing, preparation, eating behaviour, and individual suitability. Several of these dimensions overlap conceptually with contemporary nutritional research. However, their scientific appraisal requires distinguishing classical recommendations from experimentally demonstrated effects on metabolic outcomes. [20]

4.1. Matra-vat Ashniyat and Energy Balance

The principle of Matra-vat Ashniyat emphasizes consuming an appropriate quantity of food. In contemporary nutrition, portion size and total energy intake are important determinants of body-weight regulation. Sustained energy intake exceeding energy expenditure can contribute to weight gain, while appropriate portion management may support weight control. Nevertheless, individual energy requirements vary with age, body composition, physical activity, and physiological status. [21]

4.2. Na Atidrutam Ashniyat and Na Ativilambitam Ashniyat: Eating Speed

The recommendations to avoid excessively rapid or slow eating are relevant to research on eating behaviour. Eating speed may influence meal duration, satiety signalling, and total food consumption. Observational research has associated faster eating with a higher likelihood of obesity, although such associations do not independently establish causality. Therefore, the relationship between eating speed and metabolic health requires consideration of dietary patterns and individual characteristics. [22]

4.3. Tanmana Bhunjita and Attentive Eating

The principle of *Tanmana Bhunjita* emphasizes attention during food consumption. Contemporary mindful-eating approaches similarly encourage awareness of food, eating experiences, and internal hunger and fullness cues. A systematic review of mindful and intuitive eating interventions found variable effects on dietary intake, indicating that benefits depend on the intervention and study context. Therefore, attentive eating is a promising area for investigation, but its independent effect on metabolic health remains insufficiently established. [23]

4.4. Kala and Meal Timing

The concept of Kala includes temporal considerations relevant to dietary practices. Contemporary research investigates how meal timing interacts with circadian rhythms and glucose regulation. A 2026 systematic review and meta-analysis suggested potential glycaemic benefits of earlier meal timing in short-term studies, but substantial variation between studies limits definitive conclusions. Thus, the classical emphasis on timing can inform research questions without being treated as proof of a specific optimal meal schedule. [24]

4.5. Karana and Samyoga: Food Processing and Composition

The principles of Karana and Samyoga direct attention to food preparation and combinations. Contemporary nutrition research examines how cooking, processing, nutrient composition, and food structure influence dietary quality and metabolic responses. However, processing does not automatically make a food unhealthy, and food combinations cannot be classified as metabolically beneficial or harmful solely on the basis of traditional descriptions. Their effects should be evaluated according to preparation methods, nutritional composition, portion size, and relevant clinical outcomes. [25]

4.6. Upayokta and Individualized Nutrition

The principle of Upayokta emphasizes consideration of the individual consuming food. Contemporary nutritional science recognizes variation in dietary requirements and metabolic responses arising from factors such as age, genetics, lifestyle, body composition, and environmental influences. Precision-nutrition research seeks to account for these differences when developing dietary recommendations. Nevertheless, individualized dietary strategies require validated assessment methods and evidence of clinical benefit before their effectiveness can be established. [26]

Table 4. Comparative appraisal of Ayurvedic dietary principles

Classical principle	Contemporary research area	Critical appraisal
<i>Matra-vat Ashniyat</i>	Portion size and energy intake	Supported by evidence linking larger portions with higher energy intake. [21]
<i>Na Atidrutam Ashniyat</i>	Eating speed	Associations with obesity require cautious interpretation. [22]
<i>Tanmana Bhunjita</i>	Mindful eating	Findings are promising but variable. [23]
<i>Kala</i>	Meal timing and glucose regulation	Evidence is emerging; optimal timing remains uncertain. [24]
<i>Karana</i>	Food processing	Effects depend on the type and degree of processing. [25]
<i>Upayokta</i>	Individualized nutrition	Individual variability is established, but precision approaches require further validation. [26]

5. Research Gaps and Future Directions

5.1. Research Gaps

Although Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana provides a comprehensive framework for dietary behaviour, its relationship with metabolic health has not been adequately established through direct clinical research. Much of the contemporary literature examines individual components, such as portion size, eating speed, and meal timing, rather than evaluating the classical framework as a whole.

A major limitation is the absence of standardized tools for measuring adherence to Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana. Differences in the interpretation and practical application of its principles make comparisons between studies difficult. Furthermore, conceptual similarities between Ayurvedic dietary recommendations and modern nutritional science do not necessarily demonstrate equivalent physiological mechanisms or clinical benefits.

Another important gap concerns the limited integration of traditional dietary assessments with objective metabolic indicators. Studies evaluating fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, lipid profile, blood pressure, waist circumference, and body mass index may help clarify whether adherence to specific dietary principles is associated with improved metabolic outcomes.

5.2. Future Research Directions

Future research should focus on developing validated questionnaires and operational definitions for individual principles of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana. Such tools would facilitate consistent assessment of dietary practices across different populations and research settings.

Prospective observational studies could investigate associations between adherence to these principles and metabolic health indicators. Subsequently, well-designed interventional studies could evaluate the effects of selected dietary practices on outcomes such as energy intake, glycaemic regulation, body weight, and lipid metabolism.

Research should also account for potential confounding factors, including age, sex, physical activity, sleep, socioeconomic conditions, and overall dietary quality. Appropriate statistical methods and clearly defined outcome measures would improve the reliability and interpretability of findings.

6. Discussion

6.1. Convergence Between Ayurvedic Dietary Principles and Modern Nutrition

The principles of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana provide a multidimensional approach to dietary behaviour by considering food quantity, preparation, timing, eating speed, and individual suitability. Several of these dimensions have conceptual parallels with contemporary nutritional science. For example, Matra-vat Ashniyat emphasizes appropriate food quantity, while Tanmana Bhunjita highlights attention during eating. These concepts can be explored alongside modern approaches to portion control, appetite awareness, and healthy eating behaviour.

Similarly, the consideration of Kala and Upayokta reflects the importance of temporal and individual factors in dietary practices. Contemporary nutrition also recognizes that metabolic responses vary according to meal patterns, physiological characteristics, lifestyle, and overall dietary composition. These areas provide opportunities for interdisciplinary research between Ayurveda and nutritional science.

6.2. Scientific Limitations and Differences in Interpretation

Despite these conceptual similarities, the principles of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana should not be regarded as equivalent to experimentally established nutritional interventions. Classical Ayurvedic concepts and modern physiological mechanisms originate from different theoretical frameworks, and their correspondence requires careful interpretation.

Furthermore, the available evidence varies considerably across dietary practices. Some components, such as portion management and eating behaviour, have received substantial scientific attention, whereas others require more direct investigation. The effects of individual practices may also depend on dietary quality, cultural context, adherence, and personal health characteristics.

Therefore, a critical appraisal must distinguish established findings from plausible interpretations and hypotheses. Such an approach avoids overstating the scientific validation of traditional knowledge while recognizing its potential value in generating research questions.

6.3. Implications for Metabolic Health and Preventive Practice

The framework of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana may contribute to culturally appropriate dietary counselling by encouraging awareness of food quantity, preparation, eating environment, and individual requirements. These considerations may complement contemporary preventive strategies aimed at improving dietary quality and reducing metabolic risk.

However, its application should be integrated with established nutritional recommendations, appropriate clinical assessment, and individual dietary requirements. It should not replace evidence-based management of obesity, diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidaemia.

6.4. Clinical Applicability and Future Perspectives

The clinical relevance of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana depends on the development of measurable definitions and systematic evaluation of its individual components. Validated assessment tools, prospective observational studies, and appropriately designed clinical interventions could help determine whether adherence to these principles is associated with meaningful improvements in metabolic indicators.

An evidence-informed integration of Ayurvedic dietary principles with contemporary nutritional science may strengthen preventive healthcare. The ultimate objective should be to identify which principles are practically applicable, scientifically supportable, and beneficial for specific populations rather than assuming that every classical recommendation produces the same metabolic effects.

7. Conclusion

Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana offers a comprehensive traditional framework for understanding dietary practices through food characteristics, quantity, timing, preparation, eating behaviour, and individual suitability. Several of these dimensions have conceptual parallels with contemporary nutritional science, particularly in relation to portion control, dietary behaviour, and individualized nutrition.

Nevertheless, conceptual convergence should not be interpreted as definitive evidence of clinical effectiveness. Direct research using standardized assessment tools and objective metabolic outcomes is required to establish the relevance of individual principles. A critical, evidence-informed integration of Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana with contemporary nutrition may contribute to the development of culturally appropriate preventive dietary strategies within Swasthavritta.

5.3. Implications for Preventive Healthcare

Integrating Ahara Vidhi-Vidhana into contemporary preventive nutrition may provide a culturally relevant framework for dietary counselling. Its practical application should, however, remain consistent with established nutritional requirements and individual clinical needs.

A balanced research approach can preserve the relevance of classical Ayurvedic knowledge while subjecting its proposed metabolic benefits to rigorous scientific evaluation. This may contribute to the development of evidence-informed dietary strategies within Swasthavritta and preventive healthcare.

References

1. World Health Organization. Healthy diet. 26 January 2026. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/healthy-diet>.
2. Somaiya, M. K., Chauhan, A. P., & Pandya, S. B. (2026). Ahara Vidhi Vidhana (Dietary Guidelines) for Preventive Health: Integrating Ayurvedic Principles with Modern Nutritional Science. *International Journal of Ayurveda* 360, 2(5), 1026–1042. <https://doi.org/10.63247/3048-7390.v2i5.06>
3. M, K. (2025). Evaluating the Correlation between Charakokta Ashta Aahar Vidhi Visheshayatana and Modern Nutritional and Health Challenges: A Review. *International Journal of Indian Medicine*, 06(07), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.55552/ijim.2025.6706>
4. Joshi, D., Jaraje, D., Tawar, D., & Shah, D. (2025). Dietary Guidelines in Ayurveda and Modern Nutrition: Clinical Relevance, Public Health Implications, Challenges, and Future Prospects. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, 836–840. <https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-29295>
5. Prakash, D. S., Sagare, S. S., & Carvalho, A. M. (2026). Development and validation of a knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) Questionnaire on Ahara Vidhi Vidhana (Ayurvedic Dietary Guidelines). *Journal of Ayurveda and Holistic Medicine (JAHM)*, 13(12). <https://doi.org/10.70066/jahm.v13i12.2354>
6. Agrawal, S., Jain, R., Hajira, S., & Prasad, N. (2024). Exploring Ayurvedic Dietary Principles Through a Scientific Lens: A Review of Nutritional Practices. *Journal of Ecophysiology and Occupational Health*, 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.18311/jeoh/2025/44223>
7. Bhalerao, S., & Chitrakar, M. (2024). *Basic Concepts of Therapeutic Nutrition in Ayurveda* (pp. 2–20). Crc. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003345541-2>
8. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana, Chapter 1, 1.21 and 1.24, Rasavimana Adhyaya.
9. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana, Chapter 1, verse 21.
10. Agnivesha. Charaka Samhita, Vimana Sthana, Chapter 1, verse 24.
11. Meena, D. P., & Sharma, P. A. K. (2025). Ahara Vidhi Vidhan: An Analytical Perspective in Context of Modern Food Habits. *International Journal Of Ayurvedic And Herbal Medicine*, 15(06). <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijahm/v15i6.15>
12. Garus-Pakowska, A. (2023). Metabolic Diseases—A Challenge for Public Health in the 21st Century. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(18), 6789. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20186789>
13. Miranda, M. D. C. D. A., & Altamirano, A. A. (2025). Metabolic syndrome in adults: Diagnostic criteria. *World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences*, 23(3), 509–513. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2025.23.3.0879>
14. Pervanidou, P., Kanaka-Gantenbein, C., & Chrousos, G. P. (2006). Assessment of metabolic profile in a clinical setting. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*, 9(5), 589–595. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mco.0000241669.24923.8d>
15. World Health Organization. (2024). *Guidance on global monitoring for diabetes prevention and control: Framework, indicators and application*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240102248>
16. Shin, J., Lee, J., Lim, S., Ha, H., Kwon, H., Park, Y., Lee, W., Kang, M., Yim, H., Yoon, K., & Son, H. (2013). Metabolic syndrome as a predictor of type2 diabetes, and its clinical interpretations and usefulness. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation*, 4(4), 334–343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdi.12075>
17. Kristoffersen, E., Hjort, S. L., Thomassen, L. M., Arjmand, E. J., Perillo, M., Balakrishna, R., Onni, A. T., Sletten, I. S. K., Lorenzini, A., & Fadnes, L. T. (2025). Umbrella Review of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses on the Consumption of Different Food Groups and the Risk of Overweight and Obesity. *Nutrients*, 17(4), 662. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu17040662>
18. Liu, H. Y., Eso, A. A., Cook, N., O'Neill, H. M., & Albarqouni, L. (2024). Meal Timing and Anthropometric and Metabolic Outcomes. *JAMA Network Open*, 7(11), e2442163. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.42163>
19. Laaksonen, D., Niskanen, L., Lakka, H., Lakka, T., & Uusitupa, M. (2004). Epidemiology and treatment of the metabolic syndrome. *Annals of Medicine*, 36(5), 332–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890410031849>
20. John, S., N, A., & Sivaram, A. (2024). The Art of Eating Right: Ahara Vidhi Vidhana in Ayurvedic Perspective. *International Journal of Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences Archive*, 7(1), 122–128. <https://doi.org/10.53771/ijbpsa.2024.7.1.0022>
21. Sadler, M., & Stowell, J. D. (2012). *Calorie Control and Weight Management* (pp. 77–89). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118373941.ch4>
22. Yoshii, E., Shimpo, M., Nagahata, T., & Akamatsu, R. (2024). Factors Related to Eating Speed among Adults: A Scoping Review. *Health Behavior and Policy Review*, 11(4), 1655–1671. <https://doi.org/10.14485/hbpr.11.4.3>

23. Seguias, L., & Tapper, K. (2022). A Randomized Controlled Trial Examining the Effects of Mindful Eating and Eating without Distractions on Food Intake over a Three-Day Period. *Nutrients*, 14(5), 1043. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14051043>
24. Roy, K., & -, H. (2025). Chrononutrition: How Meal Timing Impacts Metabolism and Disease Risk. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i03.49315>
25. Eupha-Fn, P. (2020). 31.E. Workshop: Food processing in the modern era: implication for public health. *European Journal of Public Health*, 30(Suppl 5). <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckaa165.1405>
26. Aguilar-Salinas, C. (2019). Faculty Opinions recommendation of Precision nutrition: A review of personalized nutritional approaches for the prevention and management of metabolic syndrome. [Dataset]. In *Faculty Opinions – Post-Publication Peer Review of the Biomedical Literature*. Faculty Opinions. <https://doi.org/10.3410/f.729078595.793558326>