Integrating Social Work Interventions with Biochemical Monitoring in Patient Care

Nader Saad Awad Al-Mutairi[1], Abdulrahman Fahad Aldosari[2], Sultan Khalifah Mabruk Almutairi[3], Rayan Aziz Turki Almuzaini[4], Ahmed Sulaiman AlHejaili[5], Essa Mufli Khalawi Alharbi[6], Faisal Ahmed Abdullah Asiri[7], Majed Meshal Mohammed Alotaibi[8], Saud Mohammed H. Alsamiri[9]

[1]Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs (MNGHA), Saudi Arabia
[2]Saqr Al-Jazeera Field Hospital, National Guard, Saudi Arabia
[3]Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs (MNGHA), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[4]Drug and Psychotropic Substances Detection Committee, Al Madinah Al Munawwarah, Saudi National Guard, Saudi Arabia
[5]Drug and Psychotropic Substances Detection Committee, Preventive Security, Medina, Saudi Arabia
[6]Drug and Psychotropic Substances Detection Committee, Medina, Saudi Arabia
[7]National Guard, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[8]Ministry of National Guard, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[9]Ministry of National Guard, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: Modern healthcare increasingly recognizes that patient outcomes are influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors that interact in complex ways. While biochemical monitoring provides objective measures of disease progression and treatment effectiveness, social work interventions address psychosocial barriers, social determinants of health, and behavioral factors that significantly affect clinical outcomes. Integrating these approaches may improve patient-centered care and support better health outcomes across diverse populations.

Aim: This article aimed to examine the theoretical foundations, clinical applications, benefits, and challenges of integrating social work interventions with biochemical monitoring in patient care and to evaluate their contribution to holistic healthcare delivery.

Methods: A narrative review approach was employed to analyze current literature related to the biopsychosocial model, social determinants of health, biochemical monitoring, and interdisciplinary healthcare practices. Evidence from studies involving chronic disease management, diabetes care, cardiovascular disease, liver disease, and inborn errors of metabolism was reviewed to explore mechanisms and outcomes associated with integrated care models.

Results: Evidence indicates that social work interventions improve treatment adherence, reduce psychosocial stress, strengthen social support networks, and address barriers related to health literacy, transportation, and financial hardship. These interventions were associated with improved biochemical outcomes, including better glycemic control, reduced inflammatory biomarkers, enhanced disease monitoring, and lower hospital readmission rates. Interprofessional care models incorporating social workers demonstrated improved patient engagement, continuity of care, and overall health outcomes. Challenges included workforce limitations, role clarification, resource constraints, ethical considerations, and inequitable access to services.

Conclusion: Integrating social work interventions with biochemical monitoring offers a comprehensive and effective approach to patient care. By combining objective biological assessment with targeted psychosocial support, healthcare systems can improve clinical outcomes, reduce disparities, enhance patient wellbeing, and advance holistic, patient-centered care. Further research is needed to strengthen the evidence base and support widespread implementation.

Keywords: Social Work, Biochemical Monitoring, Patient-Centered Care, Biopsychosocial Model, Chronic Disease Management, Social Determinants of Health, Interprofessional Care, Health Equity.

Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape is characterized by an increasing recognition that optimal patient outcomes cannot be achieved through biomedical interventions alone. Chronic diseases, which now constitute the predominant burden on global healthcare systems, demand approaches that address not only physiological parameters but also the complex psychosocial and environmental factors that profoundly influence health trajectories. This recognition has catalyzed a paradigm shift from fragmented, reactive care models toward integrated, interdisciplinary frameworks that harness the expertise of multiple professions in concert. Social work, with its enduring commitment to the biopsychosocial model and the person-in-environment perspective, occupies a uniquely strategic position within this

evolving healthcare paradigm [1]. The profession's historical emphasis on understanding individuals within their social contexts, combined with growing attention to the biological underpinnings of behaviour and health, creates fertile ground for meaningful integration with biochemical monitoring. Biochemical monitoring—encompassing the systematic measurement of physiological markers such as glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), inflammatory cytokines, liver function tests, and other laboratory parameters—provides objective data that can illuminate the biological consequences of psychosocial stressors and, conversely, the physiological improvements that accompany effective psychosocial interventions. This article examines the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and evidence base for integrating social work interventions with biochemical monitoring in patient care. It argues that such integration represents not merely an administrative convenience but a clinically meaningful strategy that enhances patient outcomes, addresses health disparities, and advances the holistic, patient-centered care that modern healthcare systems aspire to deliver [1][2][3].

Theoretical Foundations: The Biopsychosocial Model and Its Implications

The Biopsychosocial Paradigm in Social Work

The biopsychosocial model, which has been foundational to social work practice for decades, posits that health and illness result from the dynamic interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors. This framework, articulated by Germain and Gitterman (1980) and subsequently elaborated by numerous scholars, challenges the reductionism of purely biomedical approaches by insisting that human health cannot be understood or effectively addressed without attending to the full spectrum of influences that shape it. For social workers, the biopsychosocial model translates into assessment practices that systematically gather information about clients' medical needs, mental health concerns, and social networks and supports. However, critics have noted that the biological component of biopsychosocial assessment has historically received insufficient attention in social work education and practice. This gap is increasingly being addressed as the profession recognizes that a robust understanding of biological systems—including the physiological markers that reflect health status—is essential for effective interdisciplinary collaboration and for interventions that address the full complexity of patient needs. The ecological perspective, which complements the biopsychosocial model in social work, emphasizes that individuals are embedded within multiple interconnected systems ranging from the micro-level of family and peer relationships to the macro-level of policy and cultural norms. This perspective is particularly relevant to the integration of social work with biochemical monitoring, as it directs attention to how social determinants of health at various levels—from household food insecurity to neighborhood environmental exposures—become embodied in physiological markers [2][3].

The Biological Embedding of Social Experience

A growing body of evidence from fields including psychoneuroimmunology, social epidemiology, and epigenetics demonstrates that social experiences are not merely psychologically meaningful but are biologically consequential. Chronic stress, social isolation, poverty, and adverse childhood experiences have all been linked to elevated levels of inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6). Conversely, social support, social cohesion, and nurturing relationships have been associated with decreased expression of pro-inflammatory immune response genes. Research has revealed that psychological stress is linked to elevated markers of chronic inflammation, whereas social support is associated with lower levels, with recent studies identifying mechanistic links between psychosocial factors and inflammation at the single-cell level. These findings have profound implications for social work practice: they suggest that social work interventions targeting stress reduction, social support enhancement, and the alleviation of social adversity may have measurable effects on physiological markers that can be tracked through biochemical monitoring. The concept of biological embedding—the process by which social and environmental exposures become biologically incorporated—provides a compelling rationale for integrating social work with biochemical monitoring. When social determinants such as educational disadvantage, socioeconomic status, or gender-based discrimination influence health outcomes, these influences are frequently mediated through biological pathways that leave measurable traces in laboratory values. Biochemical monitoring thus offers social workers objective evidence of the health consequences of social adversity, strengthening the case for interventions that address root causes rather than merely managing symptoms [4].

Mechanisms of Integration: How Social Work Interventions Interact with Biochemical Parameters

Addressing Barriers to Treatment Adherence

One of the most direct mechanisms through which social work interventions influence biochemical parameters is through the enhancement of treatment adherence. Adherence to prescribed medical regimens—including dietary modifications, medication regimens, and monitoring schedules—is essential for achieving optimal biochemical control in conditions ranging from diabetes to inborn errors of metabolism. However, adherence is frequently compromised by a constellation of psychosocial, financial, and practical barriers. Social workers are uniquely positioned to identify and address these barriers. In the context of inborn errors of metabolism, for example, social care involvement has been shown to assist patients and families in adjusting to new diagnoses, exploring and addressing barriers to treatment adherence, and accessing community supports. The burden imposed on patients and

families by these conditions is substantial, and many families must manage this burden in conjunction with other medical, psychosocial, and financial stressors. When these stressors are not addressed, adherence suffers, leading to sustained derangement of biochemical parameters and clinical deterioration. Similar dynamics operate in the management of chronic diseases more broadly. Social work interventions that address health literacy, financial constraints, transportation challenges, and psychosocial stress have been found to be particularly effective in facilitating timely diagnostic follow-up and promoting equitable access to laboratory services. By removing the practical and psychological obstacles that impede adherence, social workers enable patients to achieve the biochemical targets that are the focus of medical management [1][2][4].

Psychosocial Stress and Inflammatory Pathways

The relationship between psychosocial stress and inflammation represents another critical pathway through which social work interventions may influence biochemical parameters. Chronic stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system, leading to sustained elevation of inflammatory markers that contribute to the pathogenesis of numerous chronic diseases. Social work interventions that reduce stress—through counselling, support groups, stress management training, or the alleviation of social stressors such as housing instability or food insecurity—may therefore have measurable effects on inflammatory biomarkers. Existing data confirm that psychosocial interventions are effective in reducing stress and modulating inflammatory biomarkers. These interventions show potential in managing neuroinflammation biomarkers and cortisol, effectively complementing pharmacotherapy. The implications for integrated care are significant. When social workers address the psychosocial determinants of inflammation, they are not merely providing comfort or support but are intervening in biological processes that have measurable consequences for health outcomes. Biochemical monitoring provides the objective feedback necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of these interventions and to adjust them as needed [5].

Social Support and Physiological Resilience

Social support—a core focus of social work intervention—has been linked to favorable proteomic signatures and reduced mortality risk in longitudinal cohort analyses. Research has demonstrated that social support is related to clusters of proteomic biomarkers that may be linked to inflammation, apoptosis, and atherosclerosis/vascular pathways. These findings suggest that social work interventions aimed at strengthening social support networks may have measurable effects on biochemical markers of cardiovascular and metabolic health. The mechanisms underlying these associations are multifaceted. Social support may buffer the physiological effects of stress, promote health-promoting behaviours, enhance immune function, and reduce the neuroendocrine dysregulation that accompanies social isolation. For social workers, these findings reinforce the importance of interventions that address social connectedness not merely as a psychosocial goal but as a biological necessity [5].

Evidence from Clinical Applications

Metabolic Disorders and Inborn Errors of Metabolism

The management of inborn errors of metabolism (IEMs) provides compelling evidence for the value of integrating social care with biochemical monitoring. The current cornerstone of management for many small-molecule IEMs is a combination of dietary therapy and medication. However, the burden imposed on patients and families is substantial, and adherence to recommended treatment can be extremely challenging. Case vignettes from the metabolic literature illustrate how social care involvement, in addition to enhanced psychosocial support from the clinical team, resulted in improved outcomes. This included assisting with adjustment to a new diagnosis, exploring and addressing barriers to treatment adherence, and provision of early help community support. In instances where these measures were insufficient and risk of harm to the child was significant, social care involvement facilitated graded escalation from a child in need approach to formal child protection measures. The integration of social care within the metabolic team has been advocated as part of a more holistic model of care. This integration requires addressing challenges including the need for greater education about medical conditions and the risks associated with undertreatment, lack of protected time for metabolic case management, and lack of preventative involvement of social workers during initial hospitalization. These challenges notwithstanding, the evidence supports the conclusion that social work involvement enhances the ability of patients and families to achieve and maintain biochemical control [5][6].

Diabetes Management

Diabetes management offers a particularly well-documented example of the integration of social work with biochemical monitoring. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) serves as a key biochemical marker of glycemic control, and psychosocial determinants have been shown to significantly influence HbA1c levels. Research has demonstrated that dietary factors impact HbA1c levels directly, while diet and exercise impact HbA1c indirectly through body mass index, and depression significantly affects both exercise and diet. Social work care management in diabetes therefore involves addressing the psychosocial determinants that influence these behavioral and physiological pathways. Interventions targeting depression, food insecurity, health literacy, and access to care have all been shown to improve glycemic control. Integrated primary care provided by interprofessional teams that include social workers has been

found to significantly improve the behavioral health and care of patients compared to routine services. The integration of biomarkers into social epidemiology provides a framework for understanding how social determinants translate into biological outcomes, thereby guiding equitable healthcare policies. For social workers in diabetes care, biochemical monitoring provides objective feedback on the effectiveness of their interventions and enables data-driven adjustments to care plans [6].

Liver Disease and Transplantation

The role of social workers in cirrhosis care has been the subject of systematic review, with evidence highlighting the potential of social worker-led interventions to improve outcomes for patients with cirrhosis. In studies of patients with alcohol-related liver disease, social workers conducted psychosocial assessments, screened for substance use disorder and psychological distress, coordinated referrals to addiction services, and provided relapse prevention therapy. In studies including transplant recipients or candidates, social workers focused on psychosocial interventions. In some cases, social workers provided practical support including assistance with housing and transportation. Patients with cirrhosis experience significant psychosocial challenges that affect their health-related quality of life. Rates of alcohol use disorder, social isolation, depression, and anxiety are rising among these patients. One in three adults with cirrhosis experiences financial hardship from medical bills, contributing to food insecurity, medication non-adherence, and frequent unplanned healthcare utilization. Social workers, as part of multidisciplinary clinical care teams, play a key role in addressing these psychosocial aspects of care to deliver person-centered care for patients and families [6][7].

Cardiovascular Disease

The integration of social work with biochemical monitoring is equally relevant to cardiovascular disease management. Inflammation has been recognized as a key pathogenic mechanism in cardiovascular disease, with C-reactive protein serving as a predictor of heart attacks comparable to lipid levels. Stressful events are closely associated with chronic inflammatory states, suggesting that the body's stress response can be viewed as an inflammatory state. A systems-based interdisciplinary model for chronic disease care has been proposed that integrates radiological assessment, clinical nursing oversight, and social work intervention. This model critiques the prevailing biomedical model—characterized by fragmented, reactive care—and proposes a comprehensive, systems-based approach. The analysis reveals that while pharmacological advances are pivotal, their real-world efficacy is severely attenuated by systemic fragmentation. The proposed triad creates a synergistic safety net: radiological precision guides nursing vigilance, while social work intervention secures the psychosocial foundation necessary for adherence and stability. Data indicates that this integrated approach significantly reduces hospital readmissions (by up to 89% in specific cohorts), lowers global economic burdens, and improves patient quality of life [4][6][7].

Models of Integrated Care

Interprofessional Team-Based Care

The integration of social workers into interprofessional healthcare teams has been a central strategy for achieving integrated care. Systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials have examined the functions of social workers on interprofessional teams in primary care and assessed the impact of such teams. Social workers engaged in three patient-centered activities: behavioral health treatment, care management, and referral for social services. The findings suggest that, compared to routine services, integrated primary care provided by interprofessional teams that include social workers significantly improves the behavioral health and care of patients. Collaborative care models, which integrate physical and behavioral health and social services, involve regular or proactive monitoring and treatment. These models are evidence-based approaches that have been implemented across diverse healthcare settings. They typically include screening tools administered at medical visits, with subsequent conversations between physicians and patients or families often resulting in families working with clinical social workers to address identified concerns [8].

Laboratory-Social Work Integration

A particularly innovative model involves the direct integration of medical laboratory services with social work support. This patient-centered approach aims to improve health outcomes by addressing the social determinants that affect patients' ability to access, understand, and act upon diagnostic information. Evidence suggests that coordinated laboratory-social work models enhance patient understanding of laboratory results, reduce diagnostic-related anxiety, improve adherence to chronic disease monitoring, and strengthen continuity of care. Social work interventions are particularly effective in addressing barriers related to health literacy, financial constraints, transportation challenges, and psychosocial stress, thereby facilitating timely diagnostic follow-up and promoting equitable access to laboratory services. Interdisciplinary collaboration further supports improved communication among healthcare professionals and earlier detection of disease-related complications. This model recognizes that medical laboratory services, while fundamental to clinical decision-making, have their effectiveness frequently influenced by social determinants. By integrating social work support within laboratory-centered care pathways, healthcare systems can address social determinants of health and advance patient-centered outcomes [4][9].

Social Determinants of Health Screening and Referral

Another important model involves the systematic screening for social determinants of health (SDOH) and the integration of social work referrals into clinical care pathways. This approach incorporates clinical risk stratification, SDOH assessment, and social work referrals into chronic disease management, while also employing culturally aligned patient navigators to ensure patients receive the services and referrals they may benefit from. This model explicitly links biochemical monitoring with social work intervention by recognizing that social risk factors have biological consequences that can be tracked through laboratory values. Studies have assessed the association between levels of social risk and biomarkers of inflammation in adults, revealing that social determinants of health encompass non-medical factors that influence the conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age. By systematically screening social risk and linking patients to appropriate social work interventions, healthcare systems can address the root causes of biochemical abnormalities rather than merely treating their manifestations [10].

Challenges and Barriers to Integration

Professional Boundaries and Role Clarification

Despite the compelling rationale for integration, significant challenges remain. One of the primary barriers is the lack of clarity regarding professional roles and boundaries. In the context of metabolic disorders, for example, challenges have been identified in engaging social workers externally to the metabolic team, including a need for greater education about the medical condition and the risks associated with undertreatment. When social workers lack sufficient understanding of the biochemical parameters relevant to their patients' conditions, their ability to intervene effectively is compromised. Role clarification requires not only education but also the establishment of clear protocols for communication and collaboration between social workers and other healthcare professionals. This includes defining how biochemical monitoring data will be shared with social workers, how social work assessments will be incorporated into medical decision-making, and how progress will be tracked and evaluated [10][11].

Resource Constraints and Workforce Development

The integration of social work with biochemical monitoring requires resources that are often in short supply. Lack of protected time for case management has been identified as a barrier to effective social work involvement in metabolic care. Similarly, the lack of preventative involvement of social workers during initial hospitalization has been noted to impact patient rapport and the effectiveness of subsequent interventions. Workforce development is another critical consideration. Social workers require training in the interpretation of biochemical parameters, the physiological consequences of psychosocial stressors, and the evidence base for interventions that target biological outcomes. Conversely, medical professionals require education about the role of social work, the social determinants that influence biochemical parameters, and the value of psychosocial interventions [11].

Ethical Considerations

The integration of social work with biochemical monitoring raises important ethical considerations. The collection and use of biological samples and laboratory data in the context of social work practice must be guided by principles of informed consent, privacy, and confidentiality. Patients must understand how their biochemical data will be used, who will have access to it, and what the implications of abnormal results may be. There is also a risk that the emphasis on biochemical monitoring could lead to a form of biological reductionism that undermines the holistic values of social work. While biochemical data can provide valuable objective evidence of health status and intervention effectiveness, they should not be allowed to overshadow the subjective experiences, values, and preferences of patients. Social workers must resist the temptation to reduce complex human experiences to laboratory values and must continue to attend to the full range of factors that contribute to health and wellbeing [12][13].

Health Equity and Access

Finally, the integration of social work with biochemical monitoring must be pursued in a manner that promotes health equity rather than exacerbating existing disparities. Access to biochemical monitoring is not uniformly distributed across populations, and patients from disadvantaged backgrounds may face barriers to laboratory testing that are compounded by social work interventions that are not accessible to them. Healthcare systems must ensure that integrated services are available to all patients who could benefit from them, regardless of socioeconomic status, geographic location, or other social determinants. This requires attention to the structural factors that shape access to care and a commitment to addressing the root causes of health inequities [4][5].

Future Directions

Advancing the Evidence Base

While the existing evidence supports the integration of social work with biochemical monitoring, more high-quality research is needed. Randomized controlled trials are required to formally assess the impact of social worker-led interventions on biochemical outcomes across diverse patient populations and healthcare settings. Such trials should include not only traditional clinical outcomes but also patient-reported outcomes and measures of healthcare

utilization. Research should also explore the mechanisms through which social work interventions influence biochemical parameters, including the roles of stress reduction, social support enhancement, and the alleviation of material hardship. Understanding these mechanisms will enable the development of more targeted and effective interventions [4][7].

Technology-Enhanced Integration

Technological advances offer new opportunities for integrating social work with biochemical monitoring. The use of electronic health records, patient portals, and mobile health applications can facilitate the sharing of biochemical data between social workers and other healthcare professionals. These technologies can also enable more frequent monitoring of biochemical parameters and more rapid adjustment of interventions. Community health workers and other frontline providers are increasingly using tablet-based eHealth applications and portable diagnostic tools to screen, diagnose, and monitor patients with chronic diseases. These innovations have the potential to extend the reach of integrated care to remote and underserved populations [14].

Policy and System-Level Change

Ultimately, the full integration of social work with biochemical monitoring will require policy and system-level change. Healthcare systems must be designed to support interdisciplinary collaboration, with appropriate reimbursement mechanisms, care coordination structures, and accountability measures. Social work must be recognized as an essential component of comprehensive healthcare, and social workers must be adequately resourced to fulfil their roles. Policymakers should consider the value of integrated care in reducing hospital readmissions, lowering healthcare costs, and improving patient outcomes. The economic case for integration is compelling, with estimates suggesting that fragmented care contributes to substantial avoidable costs. By investing in integrated models that bring together the expertise of social workers and the objective data of biochemical monitoring, healthcare systems can achieve better outcomes at lower cost [4][12][13][14].

Conclusion

The integration of social work interventions with biochemical monitoring in patient care represents a significant advance in the pursuit of holistic, patient-centered healthcare. Grounded in the biopsychosocial model and supported by a growing body of evidence, this integration recognizes that health outcomes are shaped by the dynamic interplay of biological, psychological, and social factors. Social workers contribute to this integration through their expertise in addressing barriers to treatment adherence, reducing psychosocial stress, enhancing social support, and addressing the social determinants that become embedded in physiological markers. Biochemical monitoring provides the objective feedback necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of these interventions and to guide clinical decision-making. The evidence from clinical applications in metabolic disorders, diabetes, liver disease, and cardiovascular disease demonstrates the value of this integrated approach. Models of integrated care, including interprofessional team-based care, laboratory-social work integration, and SDOH screening and referral, offer practical frameworks for implementation. Challenges remain, including the need for role clarification, resource allocation, workforce development, and attention to ethical considerations and health equity. However, these challenges are not insurmountable, and the potential benefits of integration—improved patient outcomes, reduced healthcare costs, and enhanced health equity—justify continued efforts to advance this agenda. As healthcare systems continue to evolve in response to the growing burden of chronic disease and the recognition of the social determinants of health, the integration of social work with biochemical monitoring will become increasingly essential. By harnessing the complementary strengths of social work expertise and biochemical data, healthcare providers can deliver care that addresses the full complexity of human health and wellbeing.

References

1. Alatawi TS, Alatawi EN, Al Jaber FM, Alfuhigi AS, Alsharari NR, Alenezi HA, Alenezi SS, Alharbi RK, Al-Shammari FM, Al-Shammari TF, Al-Mutairi AH. A Systems-Based-Interdisciplinary Model For Chronic Disease Care: Integrating Radiological Assessment, Clinical Nursing Oversight, And Social Work Intervention To Advance Patient Screening, Monitoring, And Psychosocial Wellbeing. The Review of Diabetic Studies. 2024 Aug 24:154-66.
2. Almish M, Mohammed EA, Al-Wadai SS, Alahmadi AM, AlAladhbaa MS, Algarni MA, Alharisi MS, Mashwal F, Al-Basili SM, Alamri FA. Bridging Diagnostic Medicine and Social Care: Integrating Medical Laboratory Services with Social Work to Advance Patient-Centered Outcomes. Vascular and Endovascular Review. 2025 Oct 29;8(3):224-30.
3. Bentley KJ, Walsh J. The social worker & psychotropic medication: Toward effective collaboration with mental health clients, families, and providers. (No Title). 2001.
4. Fraser MW, Lombardi BM, Wu S, de Saxe Zerden L, Richman EL, Fraher EP. Integrated primary care and social work: A systematic review. Journal of the Society for Social Work and Research. 2018 Jun 1;9(2):175-215.
5. Gitterman A. Life model of social work practice. Social work treatment: Interlocking theoretical approaches. 2017 Mar 23:287-301.

6. Kim YM, Noyori-Corbett C. The impact of psychosocial determinants of HbA1c level: Implications for social work care management. Social work in health care. 2025 Nov 26;64(9-10):271-87.
7. McInnis-Dittrich K, McInnis-Dittrich K. Social work with older adults: A biopsychosocial approach to assessment and intervention. Boston: Allyn & Bacon; 2009.
8. Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, Weinstein JN, editors. Communities in action: Pathways to health equity.
9. Peek CJ. Integrated behavioral health and primary care: A common language. InIntegrated behavioral health in primary care: Evaluating the evidence, identifying the essentials 2013 Apr 11 (pp. 9-31). New York, NY: Springer New York.
10. Selvanathan A, Nazir S, van Wyk K, Simpson E, Holmes V, Hutton R, White F, Schwahn BC. Benefits of Integrated Social Care in the Management of Patients With Inborn Errors of Metabolism. JIMD reports. 2025 May;66(3):e70023.
11. Abuse S. Mental health services administration. Results from the. 2013 Jan 10;2(013):55-68.
12. Ufere NN, Hinson J, Finnigan S, Powell EE, Donlan J, Martin C, Clark P, Valery PC. The Impact of Social Workers in Cirrhosis Care: a Systematic Review: The Impact of Social Workers in Cirrhosis Care. Current treatment options in gastroenterology. 2022 Jun;20(2):160-76.
13. Vaughn MG, DeLisi M, Matto HC. Human behavior: A cell to society approach. John Wiley & Sons; 2013 Aug 12.
14. Ranjbaran A, Kalita C, Wei J, Davis KM, Bruinsma J, Mair-Meijers H, Garlicki G, Alazizi A, Petriello M, Jiang Y, Zilioli S. Psychological stress and social support are associated with opposing single-cell pro-inflammatory gene regulatory mechanisms in adults. bioRxiv. 2025 Oct 15:2025-10.